

Risk ID	Category / Element	Risk Short Title	Description	Desired Outcome	Current Situation	Proposed Strategy
C00027 [08]	M2 Project Organisation	Choice of Computer Operating System for PHCC	The PHC Consortium must choose a suitable computer operating system (OS) to support its activities. The primary contenders are Windows, Apple, and Linux. This decision will impact security, efficiency, and long-term alignment with our values.	Select an OS that meets the technical, ethical, and operational needs of the Consortium. The ideal choice will offer robust security, ethical business practices, and the flexibility required for our diverse activities. What Could Go Wrong? A rushed or uninformed choice may expose the Consortium to security vulnerabilities, high costs, or dependency on corporations with questionable ethics, thereby compromising our operational integrity.	The current debate within the team echoes differing views on OS security, corporate ethics, and user freedom. Windows and Apple offer user-friendly ecosystems but have commercial practices that raise ethical concerns. Linux, while technically superior in security and flexibility, often faces criticism for its passionate and sometimes divisive community discourse.	1. Organize a focused discussion among Consortium members to weigh each OS option against our priorities: security, ethical values, cost-efficiency, and adaptability. Emphasize a balanced approach, recognizing the merits and drawbacks of each system. 2. Produce an initial grid of Advantages and Disadvantages to compare the Operating Systems.

Risk (three-part) Statement			Current Risk			Response Type	Manageability	Residual Risk	Risk Owner	Due Date	Close Date	Last Review Date Notes
Cause	Risk Event [uncertainty]	Consequence	Probability	Impact	Score (Pxl)							
Differing opinions on OS choices, influenced by contrasting views on corporate ethics, technical needs, and user experiences.	The ongoing debate, potentially causing division among team members and delaying the decision-making process.	Risk of adopting an unsuitable OS, leading to future operational inefficiencies, ethical compromises, or security vulnerabilities.	4	4 H-3 C-4 Q-4 S-1	16	Mitigate	3	8	Winter, David	25Dec24	Open	15Oct24

Mitigating Actions / Response				
ID	Actions	Action Owner	Due Date	Close Date
#1	Set up discussion forum.	Winter, David	19Oct24	Open
#2	Compile an advantage/disadvantage chart for ongoing comparison.	Winter, David	19Oct24	Open

Last 10 RM Events (Meetings/Interviews/Workshops).

Mtg.	Date	Title / Person / Department	Objective
(0 Events held.)			

<u>Comments</u>		<u>History</u>	
Top Risk 2 Summary	Top Risk Mitigation		
Choice of Computer Operating System for PHCC	Focused discussion among Consortium members to weigh each OS option against our priorities: security, ethical values, cost-efficiency, and adaptability.		